

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,837	12/28/2000	Rainer Loesch	2345/17A 1255	
26646	7590 07/28/2006		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON LLP			FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
		DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/750,837	LOESCH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lawrence D. Ferguson	1774		

Advisory Action	09/750,837	LOESCH ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lawrence D. Ferguson	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 20 July 2006. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS 	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3 y must be filed within the time perio	7(e)), to avoid dismiss od set forth in 37 CFR	sal of the 41.37(a).
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further complete (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belom (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ce because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other: 	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	
			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/750,837

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant seeks to further limit the scope of the invention by amending claim 1 to include "wherein the first and second material layers are deposited by a material deposition method in a deposition direction.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues the Saaski reference is not believed to teach or suggest alternating crystalline and amorphous layers or even alternating two amorphous layers. Saaski discloses two or more alternating layers of chrome (crystalline) and silicon (column 18, lines 67-68) with each layer being about 25 and 100 Angstroms thick, respectively (2.5nm and 10nm) (column 19, lines 2-4). Saaski further discloses the silicon being crystalline (column 29, line 20) rendering two or more alternating crystalline layers. Applicant further argues the reference is not believed to teach the added limitation of depositing the material layers via a material deposition method in the deposition. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production.

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY CXAMINER GROUP 1300

Brue Hex